

[Regan Robertson](#) Mod • [14 days ago](#)

We had some great questions come through the Q&A, and some were answered by the panelists and some wrote in the answers.

Nathaniel Husted 02:27 PM

When you demo a successful project to your sponsors, I presume one of the things you demo is that the device is resistant to cyber-attacks (Doug touched on this a bit). Given that, what do you all find is an optimal way of showing sponsors/customers/etc... that you are resistant in a way that resonates?

Renato 02:29 PM

Doug flew two, one protected, one not

Renato 02:33 PM

we have to raise the bar now. Include autonomous behaviors in a swarm...

Douglas Schafer 02:34 PM

What we need is a sponsor with some "pull" for the capability.

Douglas Schafer 02:39 PM

Get their "ownership" into the demo process, make a scenario that means something to them, have them contribute to its development and results. Make them part of the team.

John Walsh 02:40 PM

In the CASE model - what is the methodology/process to determine the priorities that need to be separated/isolated (recomposing) and on what hardware ? Is this an iterative process that has an element of verification prior to build? This question has been answered live

Carl Nerup 02:41 PM

I suspect that Cog Systems and DornerWorks might think differently about seL4 being a product....haha

This question has been answered live

Arslan Khan 02:42 PM

talk with other developers? do we have some famous forums for seL4?

David Talmage 02:46 PM

Kent, are you running BEAM right on top of seL4 or is there something between them?

This question has been answered live

Carl Nerup 02:47 PM

Kent - who is the target customer for you on your platform? This question has been answered live

Kent McLeod 02:49 PM

This URL should have a list of the active public forums/channels for contacting developers: <https://docs.sel4.systems/p...>

June Andronick 02:53 PM

(not a question, more a comment) Regarding having an easy way to get contracted seL4 support: the seL4 Foundation is implementing schemes to endorse seL4 service providers, with initial list on the Foundation website. More on my talk tomorrow :). This question has been answered live

Axel Heider 02:55 PM

How will CoE handle non-US members?

Renato 02:58 PM

there will be two environments, US and non-US

Jerry Dussault 02:58 PM

CoE has a focus on supporting US needs, but not to the exclusion of non-US members/community. We'll have two environments and work closely to coordinate with the seL4 Foundation to support non-US members. I'd enjoy more discussion on this at the CoE presentation tomorrow.

Stu Card 03:01 PM

I would think we don't need one ring to rule them all, but rather both fully verified (yet less feature rich) seL4 and not fully verified (but more feature rich e.g. multicore) seL4. This question has been answered live

June Andronick 03:03 PM

using either verified and not (yet) verified is all fine, as long as you don't make assurance/verified claims on a non-verified version :) (plus "verified" has several levels anyway). This question has been answered live

June Andronick 03:15 PM

You can use the name seL4 for code directly coming from <http://github.com/seL4/seL4>

You can't call it seL4 if you modify anything in that code.

More on <https://sel4.systems/Founda...>

This question has been answered live

•

• •

• Reply

• •

• Share ›

○